

COLLABORATION is a mutually beneficial and well-defined relationship entered into by two or more organizations to achieve common goals.

The relationship includes a commitment to: mutual relationships and goals; a jointly developed structure and shared responsibility; mutual authority and accountability for success; and sharing of resources and rewards.





Essential Elements	Cooperation	Coordination	Collaboration
Vision and Relationships	• Basis for cooperation is usually between individuals but may be mandated by a third party	• Individual relationships are supported by the organizations they represent	• Commitment of the organizations and their leaders is fully behind their representatives
	• Organizational missions and goals are not taken into account	• Missions and goals of the individual organizations are reviewed for compatibility	• Common, new mission and goals are created
	• Interaction is on an as needed basis, may last indefinitely	• Interaction is usually around one specific project or task of definable length	• One or more projects are undertaken for longer term results
Structure, Responsibilities & Communication	• Relationships are informal; each organization functions separately	• Organizations involved take on needed roles, but function relatively independently of each other	• New organizational structure and/or clearly defined and interrelated roles that constitute a formal division of labor are created
	• No joint planning is required	Some project-specific planning is required	• More comprehensive planning is required that includes developing joint strategies and measuring success in terms of impact on the needs of those served
	• Information is conveyed as needed	• Communication roles are established and definite channels are created for interaction	• Beyond communication roles and channels for interaction, many "levels" of communication are created as clear information is a keystone of success
Authority & Accountability	Authority rests solely with individual organizations	• Authority rests with the individual organizations but there is coordination among participants	• Authority is determined by the collaboration to balance ownership by the individual organizations with expediency to accomplish purpose
	• Leadership is unilateral and control is central	• Some sharing of leadership and control	• Leadership is dispersed, and control is shared and mutual
	• All authority and accountability rests with the individual organizations which acts independently	• There is some shared risk, but most of the authority and accountability falls to the individual organization	• Equal risk is shared by all organizations in the collaboration
Resources and Rewards	Resources (staff, time, dollars and capabilities) are separate, serving the individual organizations' needs	• Resources are acknowledged and can be made available to others for a specific project	• Resources are pooled or jointly secured for a longer-term effort that is managed by the collaborative structure
		• Rewards are mutually acknowledged	• Organizations share in the products; more is accomplished jointly than could have been individually

A Table Describing the Elements of Each

A Collaboration Checklist What factors are helping or hindering your collaboration efforts?

Many factors work to make or break collaborations. Here are two lists to help you get a sense of which factors might be at work in your collaborative relationships. Which ones are present in your program? Which ones might need the most work? The following lists detail factors that help or hinder collaboration:

POSITIVE

Factors which <u>help</u> collaboration

- Perception that the collaboration is needed
- Benefits outweigh the costs
- Positive attitudes
- Consensus between administrators and staff
- Players see each other as valuable sources/resources
- Ability to maintain program identity, prestige, and power
- Reward system for staff who reinforce collaboration
- Accessibility to other organizations
- Positive evaluations of other organizations and their staffs
- Similarity or overlap in resources and goals
- Common commitment to families (parents and their children)
- Common definitions, ideologies, interests, and approaches
- Perception of partial interdependence
- Good history of relations
- Procedures have been standardized across organizations
- Occupational diversity of staff that is complementary
- Leaders favor the collaboration
- Chances exist for regular contact and exchange of information
- Existence of boundary-crossing roles
- Compatibility of similarity of organizational structures

NEGATIVE

Factors which can <u>hinder</u> collaborations

- Vested interests of programs or other agencies
- Perception of threat, competition for resources or clients
- Perception of loss of program identity
- Perception of loss of prestige or role as "authority"
- Lower service effectiveness
- Alienation of some families
- Inability to serve new families who would be drawn to the program
- Differing leadership styles
- Differing professional background of staff
- Disparities in staff training
- Different priorities, ideologies, outlooks, or goals for families
- Lack of a common "language"
- Staff members don't favor the collaboration
- Negative evaluations of other organizations
- Imperfect knowledge of other agencies in the community
- Poor history of relations
- Costs in terms of resources of staff time outweigh benefits
- Lack of communication among higher level staff
- Bureaucracies that inhibit internal, external communication
- Centralization of authority, "red tape"
- Little staff time devoted to boundary crossing roles
- Differences in priorities, goals, tasks
- High staff turnover
- Other organizations have little to offer

COMMUNITY LINKAGES --- CHOICES AND DECISIONS

Levels	Purpose	Structure	Process
Networking	 Dialog and common understanding Clearinghouse for information Create base of support 	 Nonhierarchical Loose/flexible link Roles loosely defined Community action is primary link among members 	 Low key leadership Minimal decision making Little conflict Information communication
Cooperation or Alliance	 Match needs and provide coordination Limit duplication of services Ensure tasks are done 	 Central body of people as communication hub Semiformal links Roles somewhat defined Links are advisory Group leverages/raises money 	 Facilitative leaders Complex decision making Some conflict Formal communications within the central group
Coordination or Partnership	 Share resources to address common issues Merge resource base to create something new 	 Central body of people consists of decision makers Roles defined Links formalized Group develops new resources and joint budget 	 Autonomous leadership but focus is on issue Group decision making in central and subgroups Communication is frequent and clear
Coalition	 Share ideas and be willing to pull resources from existing system Develop commitment for a minimum of 3 years 	 All members involved in decision making Roles and time defined Links formal with written agreement Group develops new resources and joint budget 	 Shared leadership Decision making formal with all members Communication is common and prioritized
Collaboration	 Accomplish shared vision and impact benchmarks Build interdependent system to address issues and opportunities 	 Consensus used in sharing decision making Roles, time, and evaluation formalized Links are formal and written in work assignments 	 Leadership high, trust level high, productivity high Ideas and decisions equally shared Highly developed communication

From: "Collaboration Framework... Addressing Community Capacity" 1996 by National Network for Collaboration, 219 FLC, Box 5016, Fargo, ND, 58105-5016, Phone: 701-231-7259, nncoinfo@mes.umn.edu http://www.cybernet.mes.umn.edu:2400