# COMMENT SHEET

## Part I – General Criteria (0-5 Points)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria Met</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part I – Criteria

A. Amount requested is in line with individual project amounts.
B. The proposal for each project meets general guidelines outlined.

## Total Points for Part I

## Part II – Project Proposal (0-45)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria Met</th>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Awarded</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Part II – Criteria

A. **Interest in Project/Program Quality Enhancement (0-15 points)**
   1. Proposal provides rationale for interest in the project.
   2. Rationale connects to approved ABLE proposed activities.
   3. Proposal describes how program will be enhanced.
   4. Program enhancements are significant in response to project intentions and specifications.
B. Program Implementation (0-15 points)
1. Proposal provides plan for implementing project components/specifications.
2. Implementation plan is reasonable and appropriate given the specifications of the project.

C. Budget and Narrative (0-10)
1. Budget narrative clearly describes proposed costs, line item by line item, and how they relate to proposed project activities.
2. Revised program budget agrees with narrative and reflects accurate numbers for total budget revision based on the FY00 grant.
3. Revised budget is on appropriate form, signed and dated by both Supt. and Treasurer.

D. Staff Participation (0-5)
1. Applicant lists staff names and titles.
2. Appropriate number of participants based on project specifications is met.

Total Points for Part II

GRAND TOTAL (0-50 POINTS)